

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/643,012	DOBROVOLNY	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel J. Mills	3679	

All Participants:

(1) Daniel J. Mills.

(2) Peter Sawicki.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 June 2006

Time: 4:00 pm

Type of Interview:

☐ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 5, 23, 26

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner contacted Mr. Sawicki to discuss an examiner's amendment to the claims. Agreement with respect to the claims was reached and comprises the examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)